



Kevin Mayer
07/24/98 03:59 PM

To: Peter Grevatt@EPA, drogers@afls2.jag.af.mil, Annie Jarabek@EPA, Michael Osinski@EPA, Catherine McCracken/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

cc:

Subject: Follow-up to 7/14/98 IPSC conference call

Annie, Peter, Mike -

Dan Rodgers, Catherine and I had a conference call on Friday, 7/24, to touch bases as Dan was heading for vacation. We confirmed with him that there would be no teleconferencing at the stakeholder forums. The 8/25 evening session will be held in Salt Lake City (UDEQ offices) rather than in Magna, due to opposition from Magna officials. Dan suggested that we arrange a tour of the Thiokol operation (biotreatment and hog-out facility) for the exec IPSC on Monday before the meeting. I mentioned my coordination with Arizona state officials for the welcoming talk (two ADEQ division directors are deciding whether perchlorate is more of a waste or water issue). The Intertribal Council president and the Region 9 Superfund division director (Keith Takata) are also on line. Catherine has been working with Region 8, and they seem to be very active in spreading the word for the Salt Lake forum. Region 9 Media folks are engaged, and we hope to have a coherent press strategy and press release. We will try to work with Larry Glidewell of the Air Force.

Much of our call was sharing news and views about Indian Head Naval Surface Warfare Center's perchlorate problem with Region 3 and the perchlorate issue in Long Island (Region 3). Right now, my impression is that Region 6 and Region 8 have a reasonably good clue about perchlorate, Region 7 is at least aware of the issue in a meaningful fashion, Region's 2 and 3 are aware that they have perchlorate contamination but are not in touch with IPSC. Dan suggested that the trickle-down attempt to alert other regions (Felicia Marcus letter to RA's and Superfund Division Directors) should be supplemented by an IPSC letter to state water or waste authorities, so that the states know about an information resource.